

Introduction to continuous logic

Ultraproducts and type spaces

Shichang Song

Beijing Jiaotong University

14 January 2025

Let I be a nonempty set. A *filter* on I is a collection F of subsets of I satisfying:

- 1 $\emptyset \notin F$ and $I \in F$.
- 2 $A, B \in F$ implies $A \cap B \in F$
- 3 $A \subseteq B \subseteq I$ and $A \in F$ implies $B \in F$.

Elements in F are "big" elements.

A filter is an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal under \subseteq among filters on I .

Let I be a nonempty set. A *filter* on I is a collection F of subsets of I satisfying:

- 1 $\emptyset \notin F$ and $I \in F$.
- 2 $A, B \in F$ implies $A \cap B \in F$
- 3 $A \subseteq B \subseteq I$ and $A \in F$ implies $B \in F$.

Elements in F are “big” elements.

A filter is an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal under \subseteq among filters on I .

Let I be a nonempty set. A *filter* on I is a collection F of subsets of I satisfying:

- 1 $\emptyset \notin F$ and $I \in F$.
- 2 $A, B \in F$ implies $A \cap B \in F$
- 3 $A \subseteq B \subseteq I$ and $A \in F$ implies $B \in F$.

Elements in F are “big” elements.

A filter is an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal under \subseteq among filters on I .

Let I be a nonempty set. A *filter* on I is a collection F of subsets of I satisfying:

- 1 $\emptyset \notin F$ and $I \in F$.
- 2 $A, B \in F$ implies $A \cap B \in F$
- 3 $A \subseteq B \subseteq I$ and $A \in F$ implies $B \in F$.

Elements in F are “big” elements.

A filter is an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal under \subseteq among filters on I .

Let I be a nonempty set. A *filter* on I is a collection F of subsets of I satisfying:

- 1 $\emptyset \notin F$ and $I \in F$.
- 2 $A, B \in F$ implies $A \cap B \in F$
- 3 $A \subseteq B \subseteq I$ and $A \in F$ implies $B \in F$.

Elements in F are “big” elements.

A filter is an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal under \subseteq among filters on I .

Example

- Let $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $F = \{A \mid |\mathbb{N} \setminus A| < \infty\}$. Then F is a *Frechét filter*. But, it's not an ultrafilter.
- Let $a \in I$ and $F = \{A \mid a \in A\}$. Then F is an ultrafilter on I , called the *principal ultrafilter on I generated by a* .
- Principal ultrafilters are trivial.

Fact Every filter on I is contained in an ultrafilter on I .

Proof By Zorn's lemma.

Example

- Let $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $F = \{A \mid |\mathbb{N} \setminus A| < \infty\}$. Then F is a *Frechét filter*. But, it's not an ultrafilter.
- Let $a \in I$ and $F = \{A \mid a \in A\}$. Then F is an ultrafilter on I , called the *principal ultrafilter on I generated by a* .
- Principal ultrafilters are trivial.

Fact Every filter on I is contained in an ultrafilter on I .

Proof By Zorn's lemma.

Example

- Let $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $F = \{A \mid |\mathbb{N} \setminus A| < \infty\}$. Then F is a *Frechét filter*. But, it's not an ultrafilter.
- Let $a \in I$ and $F = \{A \mid a \in A\}$. Then F is an ultrafilter on I , called the *principal ultrafilter on I generated by a* .
- Principal ultrafilters are trivial.

Fact Every filter on I is contained in an ultrafilter on I .

Proof By Zorn's lemma.

Example

- Let $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $F = \{A \mid |\mathbb{N} \setminus A| < \infty\}$. Then F is a *Frechét filter*. But, it's not an ultrafilter.
- Let $a \in I$ and $F = \{A \mid a \in A\}$. Then F is an ultrafilter on I , called the *principal ultrafilter on I generated by a* .
- Principal ultrafilters are trivial.

Fact Every filter on I is contained in an ultrafilter on I .

Proof By Zorn's lemma.

Example

- Let $I = \mathbb{N}$ and $F = \{A \mid |\mathbb{N} \setminus A| < \infty\}$. Then F is a *Frechét filter*. But, it's not an ultrafilter.
- Let $a \in I$ and $F = \{A \mid a \in A\}$. Then F is an ultrafilter on I , called the *principal ultrafilter on I generated by a* .
- Principal ultrafilters are trivial.

Fact Every filter on I is contained in an ultrafilter on I .

Proof By Zorn's lemma.

Definition of ultraproducts

- Fix a first order signature L . Let I be a nonempty set and let \mathcal{U} be a fixed ultrafilter on I .
- Consider an I -indexed family of L -structure $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$.
- Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be the cartesian product of A_i .
- Let $f, g \in A$. Define a relation on A ,
 $f \sim g$ iff $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on A .

- A / \sim is the *ultraproduct of the sets A_i with respect to \mathcal{U}* , denoted by $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i = \{[f]_{\mathcal{U}} = f / \sim \mid f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i\}$.

Definition of ultraproducts

- Fix a first order signature L . Let I be a nonempty set and let \mathcal{U} be a fixed ultrafilter on I .
- Consider an I -indexed family of L -structure $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$.
- Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be the cartesian product of A_i .
- Let $f, g \in A$. Define a relation on A ,
 $f \sim g$ iff $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on A .

- A / \sim is the *ultraproduct of the sets A_i with respect to \mathcal{U}* , denoted by $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i = \{[f]_{\mathcal{U}} = f / \sim \mid f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i\}$.

Definition of ultraproducts

- Fix a first order signature L . Let I be a nonempty set and let \mathcal{U} be a fixed ultrafilter on I .
- Consider an I -indexed family of L -structure $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$.
- Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be the cartesian product of A_i .
- Let $f, g \in A$. Define a relation on A ,
 $f \sim g$ iff $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on A .

- A/\sim is the *ultraproduct of the sets A_i with respect to \mathcal{U}* , denoted by $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i = \{[f]_{\mathcal{U}} = f/\sim \mid f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i\}$.

Definition of ultraproducts

- Fix a first order signature L . Let I be a nonempty set and let \mathcal{U} be a fixed ultrafilter on I .
- Consider an I -indexed family of L -structure $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$.
- Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be the cartesian product of A_i .
- Let $f, g \in A$. Define a relation on A ,
 $f \sim g$ iff $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on A .

- A / \sim is the *ultraproduct of the sets A_i with respect to \mathcal{U}* , denoted by $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i = \{[f]_{\mathcal{U}} = f / \sim \mid f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i\}$.

Definition of ultraproducts

- Fix a first order signature L . Let I be a nonempty set and let \mathcal{U} be a fixed ultrafilter on I .
- Consider an I -indexed family of L -structure $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$.
- Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be the cartesian product of A_i .
- Let $f, g \in A$. Define a relation on A ,
 $f \sim g$ iff $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on A .

- A / \sim is the *ultraproduct of the sets A_i with respect to \mathcal{U}* , denoted by $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i = \{[f]_{\mathcal{U}} = f / \sim \mid f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i\}$.

Definition of ultraproducts

- Fix a first order signature L . Let I be a nonempty set and let \mathcal{U} be a fixed ultrafilter on I .
- Consider an I -indexed family of L -structure $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$.
- Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be the cartesian product of A_i .
- Let $f, g \in A$. Define a relation on A ,
 $f \sim g$ iff $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on A .

- A / \sim is the *ultraproduct of the sets A_i with respect to \mathcal{U}* , denoted by $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i = \{[f]_{\mathcal{U}} = f / \sim \mid f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i\}$.

Definition of ultraproducts

- Fix a first order signature L . Let I be a nonempty set and let \mathcal{U} be a fixed ultrafilter on I .
- Consider an I -indexed family of L -structure $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$.
- Let $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be the cartesian product of A_i .
- Let $f, g \in A$. Define a relation on A ,
 $f \sim g$ iff $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on A .

- A / \sim is the *ultraproduct of the sets A_i with respect to \mathcal{U}* , denoted by $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i = \{[f]_{\mathcal{U}} = f / \sim \mid f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i\}$.

Ultraproducts of first order structures

The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ is defined to be an L -structure.

- The universe is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- for each constant c in L , define f by $f(i) = c^{A_i}$ for each $i \in I$. Then $c^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i} = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_n]_{\mathcal{U}})$ iff $\{i \in I \mid P^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in \mathcal{U}$.
- for each function F in L , $F^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_m]_{\mathcal{U}}) = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$, where $f \in A$ is defined by $f(i) = F^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_m(i))$.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{A} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ with $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of first order structures

The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ is defined to be an L -structure.

- The universe is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- for each constant c in L , define f by $f(i) = c^{A_i}$ for each $i \in I$. Then $c^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i} = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_n]_{\mathcal{U}})$ iff $\{i \in I \mid P^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in \mathcal{U}$.
- for each function F in L , $F^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_m]_{\mathcal{U}}) = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$, where $f \in A$ is defined by $f(i) = F^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_m(i))$.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{A} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ with $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of first order structures

The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ is defined to be an L -structure.

- The universe is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- for each constant c in L , define f by $f(i) = c^{A_i}$ for each $i \in I$. Then $c^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i} = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_n]_{\mathcal{U}})$ iff $\{i \in I \mid P^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in \mathcal{U}$.
- for each function F in L , $F^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_m]_{\mathcal{U}}) = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$, where $f \in A$ is defined by $f(i) = F^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_m(i))$.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{A} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ with $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of first order structures

The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ is defined to be an L -structure.

- The universe is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- for each constant c in L , define f by $f(i) = c^{A_i}$ for each $i \in I$. Then $c^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i} = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_n]_{\mathcal{U}})$ iff $\{i \in I \mid P^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in \mathcal{U}$.
- for each function F in L , $F^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_m]_{\mathcal{U}}) = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$, where $f \in A$ is defined by $f(i) = F^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_m(i))$.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{A} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ with $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of first order structures

The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ is defined to be an L -structure.

- The universe is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} A_i$.
- for each constant c in L , define f by $f(i) = c^{A_i}$ for each $i \in I$. Then $c^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i} = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_n]_{\mathcal{U}})$ iff $\{i \in I \mid P^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in \mathcal{U}$.
- for each function F in L , $F^{\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i}([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_m]_{\mathcal{U}}) = [f]_{\mathcal{U}}$, where $f \in A$ is defined by $f(i) = F^{A_i}(f_1(i), \dots, f_m(i))$.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{A} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i$ with $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Fundamental theorem of ultraproducts

Theorem (Łos Theorem)

For every L -formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and every $f_k \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i \models \varphi([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_n]_{\mathcal{U}})$$

iff

$$\{i \in I \mid \mathcal{A}_i \models \varphi(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$



Remark

It follows the compactness theorem.

Fundamental theorem of ultraproducts

Theorem (Łos Theorem)

For every L -formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and every $f_k \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}_i \models \varphi([f_1]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [f_n]_{\mathcal{U}})$$

iff

$$\{i \in I \mid \mathcal{A}_i \models \varphi(f_1(i), \dots, f_n(i))\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$



Remark

It follows the compactness theorem.

Back to continuous logic

- Let X be a topological space and let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements of X .
- If \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on I and $x \in X$, we write

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} x_i = x$$

and say that x is the *ultralimit* of $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ along \mathcal{U} if for every open set F containing x , $\{i \in I \mid x_i \in F\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact Let X be the compact Hausdorff space. Then the ultralimit always exists and is unique.

- The ultralimits corresponds semantics of continuous logic.

Back to continuous logic

- Let X be a topological space and let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements of X .
- If \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on I and $x \in X$, we write

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} x_i = x$$

and say that x is the *ultralimit* of $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ along \mathcal{U} if for every open set F containing x , $\{i \in I \mid x_i \in F\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact Let X be the compact Hausdorff space. Then the ultralimit always exists and is unique.

- The ultralimits corresponds semantics of continuous logic.

Back to continuous logic

- Let X be a topological space and let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements of X .
- If \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on I and $x \in X$, we write

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} x_i = x$$

and say that x is the *ultralimit* of $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ along \mathcal{U} if for every open set F containing x , $\{i \in I \mid x_i \in F\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact Let X be the compact Hausdorff space. Then the ultralimit always exists and is unique.

- The ultralimits corresponds semantics of continuous logic.

Back to continuous logic

- Let X be a topological space and let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements of X .
- If \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on I and $x \in X$, we write

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} x_i = x$$

and say that x is the *ultralimit* of $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ along \mathcal{U} if for every open set F containing x , $\{i \in I \mid x_i \in F\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Fact Let X be the compact Hausdorff space. Then the ultralimit always exists and is unique.

- The ultralimits corresponds semantics of continuous logic.

Ultraproducts of metric spaces

- Let $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of bounded metric space with a fixed diameter K . Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .
- Define d on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ by $d(x, y) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d_i(x_i, y_i)$, where $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ and $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$.
- Check: d is a pseudometric on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$.
- Let $x, y \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$. Define a relation $x \sim y$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$.
- The relation \sim is an equivalence relation.
- We define $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ as $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) / \sim$. The space $((\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, d)$ is a metric space, and indeed a complete metric space, called the *ultraproduct* of $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$.

Ultraproducts of metric spaces

- Let $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of bounded metric space with a fixed diameter K . Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .
- Define d on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ by $d(x, y) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d_i(x_i, y_i)$, where $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ and $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$.
- Check: d is a pseudometric on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$.
- Let $x, y \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$. Define a relation $x \sim y$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$.
- The relation \sim is an equivalence relation.
- We define $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ as $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) / \sim$. The space $((\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, d)$ is a metric space, and indeed a complete metric space, called the *ultraproduct* of $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$.

Ultraproducts of metric spaces

- Let $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of bounded metric space with a fixed diameter K . Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .
- Define d on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ by $d(x, y) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d_i(x_i, y_i)$, where $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ and $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$.
- Check: d is a pseudometric on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$.
- Let $x, y \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$. Define a relation $x \sim y$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$.
- The relation \sim is an equivalence relation.
- We define $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ as $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) / \sim$. The space $((\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, d)$ is a metric space, and indeed a complete metric space, called the *ultraproduct* of $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$.

Ultraproducts of metric spaces

- Let $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of bounded metric space with a fixed diameter K . Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .
- Define d on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ by $d(x, y) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d_i(x_i, y_i)$, where $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ and $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$.
- Check: d is a pseudometric on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$.
- Let $x, y \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$. Define a relation $x \sim y$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$.
- The relation \sim is an equivalence relation.
- We define $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ as $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) / \sim$. The space $((\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, d)$ is a metric space, and indeed a complete metric space, called the *ultraproduct* of $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$.

Ultraproducts of metric spaces

- Let $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of bounded metric space with a fixed diameter K . Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .
- Define d on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ by $d(x, y) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d_i(x_i, y_i)$, where $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ and $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$.
- Check: d is a pseudometric on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$.
- Let $x, y \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$. Define a relation $x \sim y$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$.
- The relation \sim is an equivalence relation.
- We define $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ as $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) / \sim$. The space $((\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, d)$ is a metric space, and indeed a complete metric space, called the *ultraproduct* of $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$.

Ultraproducts of metric spaces

- Let $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of bounded metric space with a fixed diameter K . Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .
- Define d on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ by $d(x, y) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d_i(x_i, y_i)$, where $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ and $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$.
- Check: d is a pseudometric on $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$.
- Let $x, y \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$. Define a relation $x \sim y$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$.
- The relation \sim is an equivalence relation.
- We define $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ as $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i) / \sim$. The space $((\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, d)$ is a metric space, and indeed a complete metric space, called the *ultraproduct* of $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$.

Ultrapowers of metric spaces

- An *ultrapower* of M is an ultraproduct $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ with $M_i = M$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $M^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Fact Every ultrapower of a closed bounded interval may be canonically identified with the interval itself.



$$[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1].$$

Ultrapowers of metric spaces

- An *ultrapower* of M is an ultraproduct $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ with $M_i = M$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $M^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Fact Every ultrapower of a closed bounded interval may be canonically identified with the interval itself.



$$[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1].$$

Ultrapowers of metric spaces

- An *ultrapower* of M is an ultraproduct $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ with $M_i = M$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $M^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Fact Every ultrapower of a closed bounded interval may be canonically identified with the interval itself.

-

$$[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1].$$

Ultraproducts of functions

Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .

- Suppose $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{(M'_i, d'_i) \mid i \in I\}$ are families of metric spaces, with a fixed diameter K .
- Fix $n \geq 1$ and suppose $f_i: M_i^n \rightarrow M'_i$ is uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$.
- Moreover, there is a function Δ_f as a common modulus of uniform continuity for all f_i 's.

Ultraproducts of functions

Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .

- Suppose $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{(M'_i, d'_i) \mid i \in I\}$ are families of metric spaces, with a fixed diameter K .
- Fix $n \geq 1$ and suppose $f_i: M_i^n \rightarrow M'_i$ is uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$.
- Moreover, there is a function Δ_f as a common modulus of uniform continuity for all f_i 's.

Ultraproducts of functions

Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I .

- Suppose $\{(M_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{(M'_i, d'_i) \mid i \in I\}$ are families of metric spaces, with a fixed diameter K .
- Fix $n \geq 1$ and suppose $f_i: M_i^n \rightarrow M'_i$ is uniformly continuous for each $i \in I$.
- Moreover, there is a function Δ_f as a common modulus of uniform continuity for all f_i 's.

Ultraproducts of functions

- We define

$$\left(\prod_{i \in I} f_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}} : \left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}}^n \rightarrow \left(\prod_{i \in I} M'_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}}$$

as follows:

$$\left(\prod_{i \in I} f_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}} \left([(x_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [(x_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}} \right) = [(f_i(x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n))_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}},$$

where $(x_i^k)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Claim $\left(\prod_{i \in I} f_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}}$ is uniformly continuous whose modulus of uniform continuity is also Δ_f .

Ultraproducts of functions

- We define

$$\left(\prod_{i \in I} f_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}}: \left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}}^n \rightarrow \left(\prod_{i \in I} M'_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}}$$

as follows:

$$\left(\prod_{i \in I} f_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}} \left([(x_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, [(x_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}} \right) = [(f_i(x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n))_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}},$$

where $(x_i^k)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Claim $\left(\prod_{i \in I} f_i\right)_{\mathcal{U}}$ is uniformly continuous whose modulus of uniform continuity is also Δ_f .

Ultraproducts of L -structures

Let $\{\mathcal{M}_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of L -structures, and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I . The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ of L -structures is defined to be an L -structure \mathcal{M} as follows:

- The universe is $M = (\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each constant c in L , define $c^{\mathcal{M}} = [(c^{M_i})_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} P^{M_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
Note that $[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1]$.
- for each function f in L , $f^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} f_i^{M_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow M$.

Claim This definition is well-defined.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{M} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ with $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{M}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of L -structures

Let $\{\mathcal{M}_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of L -structures, and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I . The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ of L -structures is defined to be an L -structure \mathcal{M} as follows:

- The universe is $M = (\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each constant c in L , define $c^{\mathcal{M}} = [(c^{M_i})_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} P^{M_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
Note that $[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1]$.
- for each function f in L , $f^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} f_i^{M_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow M$.

Claim This definition is well-defined.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{M} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ with $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{M}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of L -structures

Let $\{\mathcal{M}_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of L -structures, and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I . The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ of L -structures is defined to be an L -structure \mathcal{M} as follows:

- The universe is $M = (\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each constant c in L , define $c^{\mathcal{M}} = [(c^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} P^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
Note that $[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1]$.
- for each function f in L , $f^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} f_i^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow M$.

Claim This definition is well-defined.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{M} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ with $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{M}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of L -structures

Let $\{\mathcal{M}_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of L -structures, and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I . The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ of L -structures is defined to be an L -structure \mathcal{M} as follows:

- The universe is $M = (\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each constant c in L , define $c^{\mathcal{M}} = [(c^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} P^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
Note that $[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1]$.
- for each function f in L , $f^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} f_i^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow M$.

Claim This definition is well-defined.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{M} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ with $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{M}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of L -structures

Let $\{\mathcal{M}_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of L -structures, and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I . The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ of L -structures is defined to be an L -structure \mathcal{M} as follows:

- The universe is $M = (\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each constant c in L , define $c^{\mathcal{M}} = [(c^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} P^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
Note that $[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1]$.
- for each function f in L , $f^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} f_i^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow M$.

Claim This definition is well-defined.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{M} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ with $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{M}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Ultraproducts of L -structures

Let $\{\mathcal{M}_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of L -structures, and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on I . The ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ of L -structures is defined to be an L -structure \mathcal{M} as follows:

- The universe is $M = (\prod_{i \in I} M_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each constant c in L , define $c^{\mathcal{M}} = [(c^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- for each predicate P in L , $P^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} P^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
 Note that $[0, 1]^{\mathcal{U}} = [0, 1]$.
- for each function f in L , $f^{\mathcal{M}} = (\prod_{i \in I} f_i^{\mathcal{M}_i})_{\mathcal{U}}: M^n \rightarrow M$.

Claim This definition is well-defined.

An *ultrapower* of \mathcal{M} is an ultraproduct $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i$ with $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{M}$ for each $i \in I$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Fundamental theorem of ultraproducts

Theorem (Łos Theorem for continuous logic)

Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an L -formula. If $a^k = [(a_i^k)_{i \in I}]_{\mathcal{U}}$ are elements of M for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$\varphi^M(a^1, \dots, a^n) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \varphi^{M_i}(a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n).$$



Theorem (Compactness Theorem)

Let T be an L -theory and let \mathcal{C} be a class of L -structures. Assume that T is finitely satisfiable in \mathcal{C} . Then there is an ultraproduct of structures from \mathcal{C} that is a model of T . □

Theorem (Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem)

Let κ be an infinite cardinal and assume that $\text{Card}(L) \leq \kappa$. Let \mathcal{M} be an L -structure and suppose that $A \subseteq M$ has density character $\leq \kappa$. Then, there is an elementary substructure \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{M} such that

- 1 $\mathcal{N} \preceq \mathcal{M}$
- 2 $A \subseteq N \subseteq M$
- 3 the density character of N is $\leq \kappa$.



Theorem

Let T be a complete L -theory and let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then T has a κ -universal domain, i.e., a κ -saturated and strongly κ -homogeneous model. □

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define a discontinuous function $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define a discontinuous function $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define a discontinuous function $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define a discontinuous function $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define a discontinuous function $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define a discontinuous function $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Uniform continuity

- It is necessary and sufficient that the function f is uniformly continuous.
- For the sufficiency, it is straightforward.
- For the necessity, suppose that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $a_n, b_n \in A$ with $d(a_n, b_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and yet $d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) > \epsilon$.
- Set $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} .
- Then $[a]_{\mathcal{U}} = [b]_{\mathcal{U}}$ since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$.
- However, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) \geq \epsilon$, and thus $[f(a)]_{\mathcal{U}} \neq [f(b)]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- It follows that f is not well-defined on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Uniform continuity

- It is necessary and sufficient that the function f is uniformly continuous.
- For the sufficiency, it is straightforward.
- For the necessity, suppose that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $a_n, b_n \in A$ with $d(a_n, b_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and yet $d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) > \epsilon$.
- Set $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} .
- Then $[a]_{\mathcal{U}} = [b]_{\mathcal{U}}$ since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$.
- However, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) \geq \epsilon$, and thus $[f(a)]_{\mathcal{U}} \neq [f(b)]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- It follows that f is not well-defined on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Uniform continuity

- It is necessary and sufficient that the function f is uniformly continuous.
- For the sufficiency, it is straightforward.
- For the necessity, suppose that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $a_n, b_n \in A$ with $d(a_n, b_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and yet $d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) > \epsilon$.
- Set $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} .
- Then $[a]_{\mathcal{U}} = [b]_{\mathcal{U}}$ since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$.
- However, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) \geq \epsilon$, and thus $[f(a)]_{\mathcal{U}} \neq [f(b)]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- It follows that f is not well-defined on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Uniform continuity

- It is necessary and sufficient that the function f is uniformly continuous.
- For the sufficiency, it is straightforward.
- For the necessity, suppose that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $a_n, b_n \in A$ with $d(a_n, b_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and yet $d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) > \epsilon$.
- Set $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} .
- Then $[a]_{\mathcal{U}} = [b]_{\mathcal{U}}$ since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$.
- However, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) \geq \epsilon$, and thus $[f(a)]_{\mathcal{U}} \neq [f(b)]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- It follows that f is not well-defined on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Uniform continuity

- It is necessary and sufficient that the function f is uniformly continuous.
- For the sufficiency, it is straightforward.
- For the necessity, suppose that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $a_n, b_n \in A$ with $d(a_n, b_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and yet $d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) > \epsilon$.
- Set $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} .
- Then $[a]_{\mathcal{U}} = [b]_{\mathcal{U}}$ since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$.
- However, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) \geq \epsilon$, and thus $[f(a)]_{\mathcal{U}} \neq [f(b)]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- It follows that f is not well-defined on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Uniform continuity

- It is necessary and sufficient that the function f is uniformly continuous.
- For the sufficiency, it is straightforward.
- For the necessity, suppose that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $a_n, b_n \in A$ with $d(a_n, b_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and yet $d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) > \epsilon$.
- Set $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} .
- Then $[a]_{\mathcal{U}} = [b]_{\mathcal{U}}$ since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$.
- However, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) \geq \epsilon$, and thus $[f(a)]_{\mathcal{U}} \neq [f(b)]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- It follows that f is not well-defined on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Uniform continuity

- It is necessary and sufficient that the function f is uniformly continuous.
- For the sufficiency, it is straightforward.
- For the necessity, suppose that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $a_n, b_n \in A$ with $d(a_n, b_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ and yet $d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) > \epsilon$.
- Set $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$. Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} .
- Then $[a]_{\mathcal{U}} = [b]_{\mathcal{U}}$ since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(a_n, b_n) = 0$.
- However, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(f(a_n), f(b_n)) \geq \epsilon$, and thus $[f(a)]_{\mathcal{U}} \neq [f(b)]_{\mathcal{U}}$.
- It follows that f is not well-defined on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} nx & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- These f_n 's are uniformly continuous functions, but have different modulus of uniform continuity Δ_{f_n} .
- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} nx & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- These f_n 's are uniformly continuous functions, but have different modulus of uniform continuity Δ_{f_n} .
- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} nx & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- These f_n 's are uniformly continuous functions, but have different modulus of uniform continuity Δ_{f_n} .
- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} nx & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- These f_n 's are uniformly continuous functions, but have different modulus of uniform continuity Δ_{f_n} .
- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} nx & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- These f_n 's are uniformly continuous functions, but have different modulus of uniform continuity Δ_{f_n} .
- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} nx & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- These f_n 's are uniformly continuous functions, but have different modulus of uniform continuity Δ_{f_n} .
- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Counter Examples

Let \mathcal{U} be a nonprincipal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N}^+ .

- For each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} nx & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- These f_n 's are uniformly continuous functions, but have different modulus of uniform continuity Δ_{f_n} .
- Note that $(0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \sim (0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)$, since $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} = 0$.
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)) = 0$
- $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n((0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots)) = 1$.
- It follows that $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} f_n$ is not well-defined.

Definition

- Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$ and $A \subseteq M$. Denote $L(A)$ -structure $(\mathcal{M}, a)_{a \in A}$ by \mathcal{M}_A and denote the theory $\text{Th}_{L(A)}(\mathcal{M}_A)$ by T_A .
- A set p of $L(A)$ -conditions with n free variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is called a (complete) n -type over A if there exists a model $\mathcal{M}_A \models T_A$ and $e \in M^n$ such that p is the set of all $L(A)$ -conditions $E(x)$ for which $\mathcal{M}_A \models E(e)$.
- We denote p by $\text{tp}(e/A)$ and say that e realizes p in \mathcal{M} .
- The collection of all such n -types over A is denoted by $S_n(T_A)$, or simply $S_n(A)$.

Definition

- Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$ and $A \subseteq M$. Denote $L(A)$ -structure $(\mathcal{M}, a)_{a \in A}$ by \mathcal{M}_A and denote the theory $\text{Th}_{L(A)}(\mathcal{M}_A)$ by T_A .
- A set p of $L(A)$ -conditions with n free variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is called a (complete) n -type over A if there exists a model $\mathcal{M}_A \models T_A$ and $e \in M^n$ such that p is the set of all $L(A)$ -conditions $E(x)$ for which $\mathcal{M}_A \models E(e)$.
- We denote p by $\text{tp}(e/A)$ and say that e realizes p in \mathcal{M} .
- The collection of all such n -types over A is denoted by $S_n(T_A)$, or simply $S_n(A)$.

Definition

- Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$ and $A \subseteq M$. Denote $L(A)$ -structure $(\mathcal{M}, a)_{a \in A}$ by \mathcal{M}_A and denote the theory $\text{Th}_{L(A)}(\mathcal{M}_A)$ by T_A .
- A set p of $L(A)$ -conditions with n free variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is called a (complete) n -type over A if there exists a model $\mathcal{M}_A \models T_A$ and $e \in M^n$ such that p is the set of all $L(A)$ -conditions $E(x)$ for which $\mathcal{M}_A \models E(e)$.
- We denote p by $\text{tp}(e/A)$ and say that e realizes p in \mathcal{M} .
- The collection of all such n -types over A is denoted by $S_n(T_A)$, or simply $S_n(A)$.

Definition

- Let $\mathcal{M} \models T$ and $A \subseteq M$. Denote $L(A)$ -structure $(\mathcal{M}, a)_{a \in A}$ by \mathcal{M}_A and denote the theory $\text{Th}_{L(A)}(\mathcal{M}_A)$ by T_A .
- A set p of $L(A)$ -conditions with n free variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is called a (complete) n -type over A if there exists a model $\mathcal{M}_A \models T_A$ and $e \in M^n$ such that p is the set of all $L(A)$ -conditions $E(x)$ for which $\mathcal{M}_A \models E(e)$.
- We denote p by $\text{tp}(e/A)$ and say that e realizes p in \mathcal{M} .
- The collection of all such n -types over A is denoted by $S_n(T_A)$, or simply $S_n(A)$.

The logic topology on types

- Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an $L(A)$ -formula and let $\epsilon > 0$.
- $[\varphi < \epsilon] = \{p \in S_n(T_A) \mid \text{for some } 0 \leq \delta < \epsilon, \text{ the condition } (\varphi \leq \delta) \in p\}$.
- The *logic topology* on $S_n(T_A)$ is defined as follows:
If $p \in S_n(T_A)$, then the basic neighborhoods of p are the set of the form $[\varphi < \epsilon]$ for which the condition $\varphi = 0$ is in p and $\epsilon > 0$.

The logic topology on types

- Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an $L(A)$ -formula and let $\epsilon > 0$.
- $[\varphi < \epsilon] = \{p \in S_n(T_A) \mid \text{for some } 0 \leq \delta < \epsilon, \text{ the condition } (\varphi \leq \delta) \in p\}$.
- The *logic topology* on $S_n(T_A)$ is defined as follows:
If $p \in S_n(T_A)$, then the basic neighborhoods of p are the set of the form $[\varphi < \epsilon]$ for which the condition $\varphi = 0$ is in p and $\epsilon > 0$.

The logic topology on types

- Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an $L(A)$ -formula and let $\epsilon > 0$.
- $[\varphi < \epsilon] = \{p \in S_n(T_A) \mid \text{for some } 0 \leq \delta < \epsilon, \text{ the condition } (\varphi \leq \delta) \in p\}$.
- The *logic topology* on $S_n(T_A)$ is defined as follows:
If $p \in S_n(T_A)$, then the basic neighborhoods of p are the set of the form $[\varphi < \epsilon]$ for which the condition $\varphi = 0$ is in p and $\epsilon > 0$.

Theorem

The logic topology on $S_n(T_A)$ is compact and Hausdorff.

The metric topology on types

- Let \mathcal{M}_A be a model of T_A such that each type in $S_n(T_A)$ is realized.
- For $p, q \in S_n(T_A)$, we define $d(p, q)$ to be

$$\inf\{\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} d(b_j, c_j) \mid \mathcal{M}_A \models p[b_1, \dots, b_n], \mathcal{M}_A \models q[c_1, \dots, c_n]\}.$$

Claim The definition of $d(p, q)$ does not depend on \mathcal{M}_A .

- Note that $(S_n(T_A), d)$ is a metric space, and d induces a metric topology on $S_n(T_A)$.

The metric topology on types

- Let \mathcal{M}_A be a model of T_A such that each type in $S_n(T_A)$ is realized.
- For $p, q \in S_n(T_A)$, we define $d(p, q)$ to be

$$\inf\{\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} d(b_j, c_j) \mid \mathcal{M}_A \models p[b_1, \dots, b_n], \mathcal{M}_A \models q[c_1, \dots, c_n]\}.$$

Claim The definition of $d(p, q)$ does not depend on \mathcal{M}_A .

- Note that $(S_n(T_A), d)$ is a metric space, and d induces a metric topology on $S_n(T_A)$.

The metric topology on types

- Let \mathcal{M}_A be a model of T_A such that each type in $S_n(T_A)$ is realized.
- For $p, q \in S_n(T_A)$, we define $d(p, q)$ to be

$$\inf\{\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} d(b_j, c_j) \mid \mathcal{M}_A \models p[b_1, \dots, b_n], \mathcal{M}_A \models q[c_1, \dots, c_n]\}.$$

Claim The definition of $d(p, q)$ does not depend on \mathcal{M}_A .

- Note that $(S_n(T_A), d)$ is a metric space, and d induces a metric topology on $S_n(T_A)$.

The metric topology on types

- Let \mathcal{M}_A be a model of T_A such that each type in $S_n(T_A)$ is realized.
- For $p, q \in S_n(T_A)$, we define $d(p, q)$ to be

$$\inf\{\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} d(b_j, c_j) \mid \mathcal{M}_A \models p[b_1, \dots, b_n], \mathcal{M}_A \models q[c_1, \dots, c_n]\}.$$

Claim The definition of $d(p, q)$ does not depend on \mathcal{M}_A .

- Note that $(S_n(T_A), d)$ is a metric space, and d induces a metric topology on $S_n(T_A)$.

Theorem

On $S_n(T_A)$, the metric topology is finer than the logic topology.



Theorem

The metric space $(S_n(T_A), d)$ is complete.



Theorem

On $S_n(T_A)$, the metric topology is finer than the logic topology.



Theorem

The metric space $(S_n(T_A), d)$ is complete.



Ryll-Nardzewski Theorem-continuous version

Theorem (Henson)

Let T be a complete countable theory. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1 *T is separably categorical, i.e., T has a unique separable model up to isomorphism.*
- 2 *For each n , the metric space $(S_n(T), d)$ is compact.*
- 3 *For each n , the logic topology and the metric topology coincide on $S_n(T)$.*

Separably categorical theories

The following theories are separably categorical:

- The theory of infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces.
- The theory of the Urysohn sphere.
- The theory of atomless probability algebras.

Functions on type spaces

- Let \mathcal{M}_A be a model of T_A in which every type in $S_n(T_A)$ is realized for each $n \geq 1$.
- Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an $L(A)$ -formula.
- For each $p \in S_n(T_A)$, define $\tilde{\varphi} = r$, where r is the unique real number such that $\varphi = r$ is in p .
- Equivalently, $\tilde{\varphi}(p) = \varphi^{\mathcal{M}_A}(b)$ where $b \models p$.

Functions on type spaces

- Let \mathcal{M}_A be a model of T_A in which every type in $S_n(T_A)$ is realized for each $n \geq 1$.
- Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an $L(A)$ -formula.
- For each $p \in S_n(T_A)$, define $\tilde{\varphi} = r$, where r is the unique real number such that $\varphi = r$ is in p .
- Equivalently, $\tilde{\varphi}(p) = \varphi^{\mathcal{M}_A}(b)$ where $b \models p$.

Functions on type spaces

- Let \mathcal{M}_A be a model of T_A in which every type in $S_n(T_A)$ is realized for each $n \geq 1$.
- Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an $L(A)$ -formula.
- For each $p \in S_n(T_A)$, define $\tilde{\varphi} = r$, where r is the unique real number such that $\varphi = r$ is in p .
- Equivalently, $\tilde{\varphi}(p) = \varphi^{\mathcal{M}_A}(b)$ where $b \models p$.

Functions on type spaces

- Let \mathcal{M}_A be a model of T_A in which every type in $S_n(T_A)$ is realized for each $n \geq 1$.
- Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an $L(A)$ -formula.
- For each $p \in S_n(T_A)$, define $\tilde{\varphi} = r$, where r is the unique real number such that $\varphi = r$ is in p .
- Equivalently, $\tilde{\varphi}(p) = \varphi^{\mathcal{M}_A}(b)$ where $b \models p$.

Theorem

Let $\varphi(x)$ be an $L(A)$ -formula. Then $\tilde{\varphi}: S_n(T_A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous with respect to the logic topology and uniformly continuous with respect to the metric topology.

Thanks!!

Thanks for your attention!